Frontal sinus osteoma complicated by palpebral abscess: case report

**Osteoma del seno frontale complicato da ascesso palpebrale: presentazione del caso**
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**Summary**

Osteomas of the paranasal sinuses are slow-growing, benign tumours most frequently found in the frontal sinus with an incidence that varies from 47% to 80% of the cases; there are often no symptoms and they are diagnosed by chance during an X-ray examination. When there are symptoms, they are usually headaches and ocular or neurological complications. The treatment of choice is surgery. A case of frontal osteoma complicated by frontal sinusitis and by a palpebral abscess is described.

**Case Report**

The patient, a 42-year-old male, suffered from type II diabetes mellitus and was under oral anti-diabetic treatment. About 3 months before being taken into hospital, he complained of an episode of stabbing fronto-orbital headaches and mucopurulent rhinorrhea on the right side. He was, therefore submitted to antibiotic therapy which resulted in regression of the clinical signs. In the 2 weeks prior to admission, the above-mentioned symptoms returned and were associated with considerable swelling and hyperaemia of the right eyelid.

Objective signs on admission: tender swelling of the right eyelid supra-ciliary ridge covered with hyperaemic and shiny skin (Fig. 1); this swelling extended to the ipsilateral and medio-frontal supra-ciliary region and was fluctuating and painful under finger pressure; the eye-ball and ocular motility were unaffected. Antibiotic (ciprofloxacin 750 mg tablets, twice a day, for 10 days) and anti-oedematous (betamethasone 4 mg i.m. twice a day, decreasing the dosage starting from the 4th to the 8th day) treatment was started. After approximately 24 hours, a sinus appeared at the level of the upper eyelid with leaking of a foul-smelling, mucopurulent exudate mixed with necrotic tissue. Culture proved negative: computed tomography (CT) scan of the face revealed: at the
level of the soft-tissue of the right eyelid, a dense, dyshomogeneous viscous tissue; the right frontal sinus and part of the left appeared obliterated by the osteoma (Fig. 2). No infiltration of the orbital tissues and no alterations in the adjacent bony structures were observed.

Removal of the osteoma was performed by means of the Ogston-Luc external procedure, rather than the coronal approach, as the osteoplastic technique was impossible due to the presence, not only of an osteomyelitic process of the anterior wall of the frontal sinus, but also of a cutaneous fistula which needed to be removed; in fact, during the decollement of the peristeum, an erosion in the supra-ciliary foramen was found through which purulent exudate was leaking. The frontal sinus which was reached with a trephine and a gouge appeared to be almost completely filled by a bony neoformation with an irregular surface originating at the level of the right frontal recess that seemed obliterated. The osteoma was removed using a mill and a gouge. An erosion of the upper frontal sinus wall was visible, at the top inner corner and at the back, involving a surface of about 4 mm². The recess that was completely obstructed by the osteoma was milled; then an endoscopic intranasal anterior ethmoidectomy was carried out. A silicon fronto-nasal drainage was inserted; the nasal cavity operated on was plugged, the abscess cavity in the upper eyelid was cleaned and the skin of the wound was sutured in strata. The nasal packing was removed on the 3rd, and the frontal drainage on the 8th post-operative day. The patient was discharged on day 9. The post-operative course and the recovery period were uneventful. At two years’ follow-up, the aesthetic result is excellent (Fig. 3) and frontal drainage is good, with no recurrence of frontal sinusitis.

Discussion and Conclusions

Basically, three theories have been advanced regarding the aetiopathogenesis of sinus osteoma: traumatic, infective and embryological. None of these theories seems to be more accredited than the others or able to exclude them. In fact, there is not always a traumatic event in the case history. Even if it has been shown that inflammation can stimulate osteoblastic activity, sinus inflammation usually follows ostium obstruction. According to the embryological theory, the osteoma would originate in the fronto-ethmoidal sutures, where there are both membranous and cartilaginous tissues, but many osteoma develop far from these areas. A clinical picture of multiple osteoma is rare but, when present, may be associated with polyposis of the large intestine, Gardner’s syndrome, subcutaneous desmoid tumours and epidermoid cysts.
Histologically, three types of osteoma can be distinguished:
- eburnated, compact or ivory, with bony lamellae arranged in parallel layers, slow-growing;
- spongy, areal-looking, with wide medullary spaces, without Havers' channels, of more rapid and more aggressive growth;
- mixed, in which two of the characteristics described above are present and representing the most common form with an incidence of over 50% of the cases.

Clinically, this type of neoformation can remain asymptomatic for a long time, even for the entire life of the patient, and may be discovered only by chance during an X-ray examination for other reasons.

The most frequent symptom is headaches, the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of which vary considerably and are related to the localisation and degree of development of the osteoma.

Although the osteoma invades the eyeball in one third of the cases, the symptoms relating to it are not frequent and consist of proptosis, diplopia and ptosis.

Cases of visual loss and epiphora, caused by the compression of the lachrymal sac by the osteoma, have been reported in the literature.

During its slow growth, the osteoma can spread into the cranial fossae through the posterior wall of the frontal sinus or through the cribiform lamina, causing endocranial hypertension, meningitis, cerebral abscess and pneumocephalus.

There are other complications which are more frequently found, such as, for example, frontal mucocele, frontal sinusitis and the “vacuum sinus” syndrome.

As far as concerns diagnosis, standard radiology is of fundamental importance with the aid of CT that shows the extension and the relationship of the osteoma with the adjacent structures.

The use of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is justified in all those cases in which an endocranial or orbital complication is suspected.

As various Authors have already pointed out, the logic of surgical treatment for osteoma of the paranasal sinuses, that is universally accepted, is based on the fact that they are slow-growing benign tumours.

Therefore, surgical treatment is reserved for symptomatic osteoma, while the non-symptomatic type are monitored with serial X-ray examinations.

The most suitable approach and surgical technique for the removal of the osteoma will depend strictly on the dimensions, localization and extension.

Mugliston et al. suggest applying, to the surgical treatment of the osteoma, the same evaluative criteria proposed by Cheesman (personal communication, 1984) for malignant tumours in the same localizations:

1. evaluation of intracranial disease;
2. protection of the brain;
3. avoidance of CSF leaks;
4. adequate haemostasis;
5. facilitation of resection.

The surgical techniques are represented by the fronto-orbital approach according to Ogston-Luc, which we used in the present case since there were no signs of orbital and/or endocranial compression; the transfacial approach through a Howarth-Lynch incision, extended to lateral rhinotomy and the realisation of a naso-frontal flap that allows good access to the orbital region and to the front and rear ethmoid and, finally, a coronal incision according to Untberger that allows good exploration of the frontal sinus, the ethmoid and the eyeball but is contraindicated in the case of osteomyelitis of the frontal bone and in patients who have previously undergone operations on the frontal sinus.

Finally, some Authors, in cases of endocranial complications, use the endocranial approach or the mixed cranio-facial approach.
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