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SUMMARY

Identifying the site of obstruction and the pattern of airway change during sleep are the key points essential to guide surgical treatment decision-making for Obstructive Sleep Apnoea-Hypopnoea Syndrome in adults. In this investigation, 250 cases were retrospectively analyzed in order to compare the pharyngolaryngeal endoscopic findings detected in the awake state, with those obtained in drug-induced sedation, by means of the Sleep Endoscopy technique. All endoscopic findings have been classified according to the semi-quantitative NOH staging. The awake and sedation NOH resulted identical in 25% of the cases only, while the discrepancies involved the oropharyngeal and hypopharyngeal sites, respectively in about 33% and 50% of the patients. The laryngeal obstructive role detected during sedation in almost 33% of the cases was both unforeseen and relevant, with all the consequent implications in the treatment choices particularly for the surgical cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Obstructive Sleep Apnoea Hypopnoea Syndrome (OSAHS) is an underestimated but impeding social and health problem. From the therapeutic point of view, Continuous Positive Airways Pressure (CPAP) ventilation is accepted worldwide as the gold standard approach. Alternative and well studied options are surgery, oral appliances and weight loss. In the management of severe cases, ventilation is universally regarded as the preferred option, but very recent surgery has proved to be a valuable alternative also in randomised prospective studies vs CPAP. On the other hand, effective surgical procedures need to be correctly selected and performed. Identifying the site of obstruction and the pattern of airway change during sleep are the key points essential to guide surgical treatment decision-making. The traditional routine practice (ENT examination, Müller manoeuvre and X-ray cephalometry) has been found to be incomplete and thus unable to detect the hallmark of Sleep-Disordered Breathing (SDB): the increased muscle tone during the awake state may offer an erroneous set of information regarding airway obstruction. Indeed, the awake state findings may differ quite dramatically from the sleep-breathing situation, and inaccurate information may lead to inappropriate surgery. Several Authors have shown how incorrect selection criteria can, at least in part, explain the failure rate concerning OSAHS surgery.
This methodological “bias” could be overcome by introducing nasendoscopy, carried out during sleep, indeed, called “Sleep-Endoscopy” (SE). Borowiecki et al., in 1978, and Rojewski et al., in 1982, were the first to perform endoscopy in patients under conditions of spontaneous sleep. In 1991, Croft and Pringle described, for the first time, endoscopic evaluation of the upper airway during pharmacologically induced sleep. We have retrospectively analyzed 250 cases in order to compare the pharyngolaryngeal endoscopic findings detected in the awake state while in a supine position, with those obtained under drug-induced sedation.

Patients and methods

The data reported refer to 250 SDB patients submitted to SE during the period November 2005 – July 2008. Features regarding the study population can be summarized as follows:

- Male:Female = 9:1 (225 M, 25 F);
- Mean age: 50 yrs (min: 10, max: 77; 55% from 40 to 60 yrs);
- Mean Body Mass Index (BMI): 29 kg/m² (min: 20.4, max: 49.1);
  - BMI < 25.1 kg/m² = 13%;
  - BMI 25.1 ÷ 30.0 kg/m² = 54%;
  - BMI > 30.0 kg/m² = 33%;
- Mean Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS): 11 (min: 1, max: 24);
  - ESS < 11 = 54% ;
  - ESS 11 ÷ 15 = 30% ;
  - ESS > 15 = 16% .

Before fibre-optic evaluation (under sedation), all patients enrolled in the study underwent the following basic diagnostic work-up:

- ENT examination;
- Daytime sleepiness evaluation by means of the ESS;
- X-ray cephalometry;
- Polysomnographic study (PSG) according to the Associazione Italiana Medicina del Sonno-Associazione Italiana Pneumologi Ospedalieri (AISM-AIPO) guidelines.

All endoscopic findings have been classified according to the Nose Oropharynx Hypopharynx (NOH) staging, that was first introduced, in clinical practice, by the Authors in 1999. Endoscopic observations have been classified according to the sites of collapse (nasopharyngeal; oropharyngeal; hypopharyngeal or laryngeal). The minimal sectional area (Müller manoeuvre) has been classified in 4 obstructing grades:

- Grade I: < 25% collapse;
- Grade II: between 25% and 50% collapse;
- Grade III: between 51% and 75% collapse;
- Grade IV: > 75% collapse.

Identification of the obstructing pattern was evaluated according to the shape of the dynamic collapse (anteroposterior, transversal or circular). The setting of all drug-induced Sleep-Endoscopies has been characterised by: operating room, supine position, oxygen saturation and cardiac rhythm monitoring, propofol infusion by means of the bolus technique, fiber-optic evaluation.

Results

The predictive value of the obstructive frameworks as detected in the awake vs sedation state has been shown to be extremely different: 76% (190/250) of overall dissonances (oropharyngeal and/or hypopharyngeal sites) (Fig. 1). On the other hand, endoscopic findings, in comparison with the two states of observation described, have been quite similar only in 24% (60/250) of cases.

Fig. 1. NOH Mismatch – discrepancy rates on Oropharyngeal (O) and Hypopharyngeal (H) findings.

Oropharyngeal site

Overall, 32% (80/250) disagreement, regarding obstructing grade has been identified, in particular:

- 27% (67/250) of increased collapsing grade during SE (> 25% and 50%, respectively, in 15% and 12% of cases);
- 3% (8/250) of lower collapsing grade during SE;
- 2% (5/250) in which SE was crucial to identify the grade of obstruction (patients unable to carry out Müller manoeuvre during awake endoscopy).

The analysis of the obstructing pattern has shown a 24% (60/250) dissonance frequently from a transversal (awake) to a circular (sedation) collapsing shape.

Hypopharyngeal site

Overall, 59% (148/250) disagreement, on obstructing grade, has been recorded, in particular:
– 51% (127/250) increased collapsing grade during SE (> 25% and 50%, respectively, in 43% and 8% of cases);
– 5% (13/250) of lower collapsing grade during SE;
– 3% (8/250) in which SE has been crucial in the identification of grade of obstruction (patients unable to carry out Müller manoeuvre during awake endoscopy).

The analysis of the obstructing pattern has shown 49% (123/250) discrepancy: during sedation, the most remarkable events concerned a change from a transversal to a circular (48/250 = 19%) or to an anteroposterior (33/250 = 13%) collapsing shape.

**Fig. 2.** Laryngeal involvement during sedation – obstruction frameworks.

**Laryngeal site**
A total of 74 patients (30%) showed a laryngeal involvement during sedation, as representative of a significant change during sleep (Fig. 2):
– 12% primary role (30 out of 250), when the laryngeal obstruction is not due to external causes. A glottic occlusion has rarely been observed (1/30), more likely (29/30) a supraglottic obstruction (epiglottic in 16/29; arytenoid in 6/29 or both in 7/29);
– 16% secondary role (39/250), when the laryngeal obstruction is due to compression or displacement of nearby areas, in particular:
  - epiglottic back position secondary to tongue base hypertrophy or verticalization of the hyoid-tongue complex;
  - “transversal epiglottic closure” (V-shaped), more frequently due to giant tonsil hypertrophy;
  - 2% mixed role (both primary and secondary obstructing mechanisms): 5/250.

**Discussion**
Upper airways are collapsible in order to accommodate three essential physiologic functions: breathing, swallowing and speech.

During wakefulness, collapse of the upper airways can be prevented by a high pharyngeal neuromuscular tone. Due to a reduction of this neurophysiologic phenomenon, sleep onset results in a progressive upper airways muscular hypotonia, that is greater in OSAHS patients than in normal subjects. The described process contributes to a partial or complete airways obstruction in SDB patients. An anatomic-based methodological approach during sleep may be crucial to guide surgical treatment decision making.

In 1977 and 1978, respectively, Weitzman et al. and Hill et al. were the first to report the use of fiber-optic endoscopy in awake state in order to investigate pharyngeal collapse in patients with sleep apnea. While not underestimating the relevance of Müller manoeuvre (the importance of which is still worthwhile), we would suggest sleep endoscopy as a useful additional method to reveal site/s of obstruction not likely detectable otherwise. Endoscopy combined with Müller manoeuvre which is simple to carry out, is a functional examination that leads to complete visualisation of the upper airway, also allowing the possibility to exclude any other lesion. Albeit, results depend not only on a subjective visual estimation of the airway collapse, but are related – and, indeed, limited – to a variable cooperation, coordination and effort on the part of the patient. Several Authors have shown that erroneous selection criteria can account for at least part of the failure rate related to OSAHS surgery. Sher et al. used the Müller manoeuvre to select OSAHS patients and submit them to uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP). Results of the study revealed that not in all patients presenting ideal responses to the Müller manoeuvre was surgery successful. Later, Pringle and Croft showed that results of the Müller manoeuvre alone should not be considered reliable due to the fact that the findings are not always representative of what really occurs during sleep: misleading positive results may occur. Camilleri et al. have demonstrated the reliable predictive value of pre-operative sleep endoscopy for the successful outcome of UPPP and Lin et al. reported that endoscopic pharyngeal sedated evaluation, in patients with OSAHS, had clinical power to improve the UPPP results. Likewise, Hessel and de Vries concluded that, after diagnostic work-up by sleep registration and SE, the success rate of UPPP increases compared to historical controls. Unfortunately, no standard references exist to determine the real site and pattern of obstruction during sleep. In our experience, on 250 cases retrospectively analysed between November 2005 and July 2008, the predictive value of the obstructive frameworks, as detected in the awake state or in sedation, was shown to be extremely misleading: 76% of the dissonance rate on the oropharyngeal and/or hypopharyngeal site (Fig. 1). In particular, SE versus awake endoscopy supplied remarkably dissonance rates on hypopharyngeal grading and pattern of obstruction (59% and 49%, respectively). The most relevant differences have emerged from a sub-evaluation of the collapsing grade during wakefulness. Laryngeal involvement, during sedation, has been representative of a crucial notable changing throughout sleep (Fig. 2). In the awake findings, the laryngeal obstruction may be just hypothesized as due to the deformed epiglottic shape, while direct visualization of laryngeal collapse has been possible only...
during the sedated state. In our experience, according to and in agreement with the literature, tongue base hypertrophy, as well as commonly associated sovraglottic tissue collapse, proved to be considered a non-exceptional sleep-related obstructive condition.

Conclusion
In our experience, SE is a useful additional method to reveal site(s) of collapse unlikely to be detectable otherwise (in particular, hypopharyngeal and laryngeal involvement). Indeed, SE is not the only instrument available for investigation, but should be regarded as an additional specific tool in the hands of SDB medicine.
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