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SUMMARY

The sense of smell has a high impact on the quality of life. The aim of the present study was to investigate olfactory dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS) and correlate the severity of disease with olfactory dysfunction. The relationships between nasal obstruction, nasal mucociliary clearance and olfactory tests were also evaluated. Sixty patients with a diagnosis of OSAS were enrolled and underwent olfactory function evaluation. In all patients olfactory performance was tested with the Sniffin' Sticks method. Mucociliary transport times and anterior rhinomanometry were performed to identify eventual nasal obstruction and deficits in nasal mucociliary clearance. Olfactory dysfunction was present in 22 (36.6%) patients of the study group: of these, hyposmia was present in 19 (86.4%) and anosmia in 3 (13.6%). The mean TDI score in the study group was 30. A strong correlation between the olfactory dysfunction and severity of sleep apnoea measured using the AHI was found. Patients with OSA would seem to have a high incidence of olfactory dysfunction. The degree of olfactory dysfunction appears to be related to the severity of disease. However, other co-factors such as nasal obstruction and reduced mucociliary clearance might also play a role in the aetiology of this condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS) is a condition characterised by pauses in breathing and episodes of reduction (hypopnea) or absence (apnea) of airflow in the upper airways during sleep. OSAS is becoming more commonly recognised because of its significant negative effects on daily life and its association with neurocognitive and psychological problems, such as memory and new learning, attention, executive function and depres-
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According to the study published by Heinzer et al., the incidence of moderate-to-severe sleep-disordered breathing (≥ 15 events per hour) is 23.4% in women and 49.7% in men. The sense of smell is a sensorineural system with a high impact on the quality of life. Data regarding the incidence of olfactory dysfunctions in the healthy population vary between 10% and 46% of the healthy population. A recent study of a large population showed that the overall incidence of olfactory dysfunction was 19.1%, consisting of 13.3% and 5.8% of patients with hyposmia and anosmia, respectively. Similar data were reported by Hummel et al. with a 20% incidence of olfactory dysfunction in subjects between 36 and 55 years of age.

Sinonasal diseases, nasal obstruction, head trauma and central nervous system disorders that affect neurocognitive functions, drugs, and toxins are the most important causes of olfactory dysfunction (OD). The conditions listed above may be variously present in OSAS patients, making these subjects more inclined to developing an olfactory dysfunction.

To our knowledge, only a few authors have evaluated olfactory dysfunction in OSAS patients and there is a scarcity of data concerning the relationship between clinical findings and OD in the medical literature. The aim of the present study was to investigate: 1) incidence of olfactory dysfunction in OSAS patients; 2) correlation between the severity of OSAS and OD; 3) relationships between nasal obstruction, nasal mucociliary clearance and olfactory test results in patients with OSAS.

Materials and methods

This prospective study was performed at the Organi di Senso Department (Sleep Disorders Unit) of Sapienza University in Rome between January 2016 and February 2017.

The participants were selected from patients who were referred to us with a clinical suspicion of sleep apnoea syndrome. Initially, clinical data, including height and weight, in order to calculate body mass index (BMI), medical history, tobacco use and a list of current medications were collected for each patient. Subsequently, each patient was submitted to an ENT physical examination with nasal endoscopy (2.7 mm 0° rigid endoscope) to evaluate the features of nasal structures and detect any rhino-sinusal pathologies.

The exclusion criteria for this study included patients with a history of upper respiratory infections within the previous 3 weeks, sinonasal disorders (nasal polyps, chronic rhinosinusitis, allergic rhinitis), asthma, malignancy, head trauma, neurological and psychiatric disorders, metabolic and endocrine disorders, or a recent history of smoking more than 3 cigarettes per day.

Patients who underwent sleep surgery or currently using continuous positive airway pressure were not included in the study. All patients selected for the study had previously undergone a polysomnographic (PSG) study to confirm diagnosis of OSAS and assess its severity. All parameters, sleep stage scoring and event scoring were evaluated in accordance with the AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events.

Diagnosis of OSAS was confirmed when the number of obstructive events (apnoeas, hypopneas + respiratory event related arousals) on PSG were > 15 events/hour or > 5/hour in patients reporting typical symptomatology (unintentional sleep episodes during wakefulness; daytime sleepiness; unrefreshing sleep; fatigue; insomnia; waking up holding breath, gasping or choking; or loud snoring, breathing interruptions or both during the patient’s sleep as described by the bed partner).

In accordance with American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guidelines, OSAS severity was classified on the basis of the apnoea + hypopnoea index (AHI). The grade of OSAS was classified as normal (AHI < 5/h), mild (AHI ≥ 5 and < 15 plus typical symptomatology), moderate (AHI ≥ 15 and < 30) or severe (AHI ≥ 30). Once the exclusion criteria was applied, 60 patients with a PSG diagnosis of OSAS were enrolled in the study. During enrollment of these patients, 20 subjects were selected from each OSA category (mild, moderate, severe) to form three homogeneous groups for better comparison of olfactory results.

The same exclusion criteria adopted for the study group were applied for selection of a control group of patients without OSAS. In all the patients of the control group the typical symptomatology of OSAS patients was excluded and the results of PSG examination showed AHI < 5. Forty volunteer subjects were enrolled in this control group. All OSAS patients enrolled in the study group underwent evaluation of olfactory function. Anterior rhinomanometry and mucociliary transport time were performed to evaluate nasal obstruction and mucociliary clearance. The same study protocol was adopted for the control group. The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local Ethics Committee of the University Sapienza of Rome.

All patients gave written informed consent for the PSG, olfactory test, rhinomanometry and saccharin test.
Evaluation of olfactory function

Olfactory performance was tested with the Sniffin’ Sticks (Burghart, Wedel, Germany) method\textsuperscript{28-30}. This test includes 3 sub-tests that evaluate the olfactory threshold (OD), olfactory discrimination (ODs) and identification (OI). The sum of the results from each of these different sub-tests results in a total score defined as TDI. In the analysis of Sniffin’ Sticks tests, the results of the TDI score indicated hyposmia when the total TDI score was < 30.5, anosmia when < 16.5 and no OD when the TDI score was > 30.5\textsuperscript{28-30}.

Rhinomanometry

Nasal resistance was evaluated in both OSAS patients and in the control group using anterior rhinomanometry. It has been reported in the literature that the mean total resistance in normal subjects ranges between 0.15 and 0.3 Pa/cm\textsuperscript{3}/s\textsuperscript{31}. For this reason, total nasal airway resistance > 0.3 Pa/cm\textsuperscript{3}/s was considered pathological.

Mucociliary transport time

Mucociliary transport times were evaluated to identify possible deficits of nasal mucociliary clearance. Mucociliary transport time was evaluated in each patient using the saccharin test, which is a very simple, quick, non-invasive and reproducible method\textsuperscript{32,33}. About 2.5 mg of granulated saccharin was placed 1 cm posterior and inferior to the head of the inferior turbinate with patients placed in the sitting position with the head bent forward. Patients were instructed to swallow every 30 seconds and not to sniff, sneeze or wipe their noses until they tasted the saccharin. The time from placement to perception of sweet taste was recorded as the nasal mucociliary clearance time (NMCT)\textsuperscript{32,33}. The same test was performed in OSAS patients and the study group.

Statistical analysis

For comparison of data between groups, the chi-square, Student’s T test and regression analysis were performed using XLSTAT software (Addinsoft, 2015). A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

The characteristics of the 60 patients of the study group are summarised in Table I.

Regarding the 60 patients of the study group, 38 were male and 22 were female, with an average age of 53.1 years (range 32-77). The average age of the three subgroups was 51.8, 54.5 and 53.4 in the mild, moderate and severe OSA subgroups respectively. The average age of the control group was 48.4 years. No difference emerged regarding mean patient age between the study and control groups (p = 0.1). Moreover, no differences were seen in mean age between the OSA subgroups (p > 0.05 for all).

Table I. Clinical characteristics of the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OSAS group N = 60</th>
<th>Control group N = 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle age</td>
<td>Total group: 53.1 years; range: 32-76 years</td>
<td>48.4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild OSAS: 51.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate OSAS: 54.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe OSAS: 53.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>38 Male</td>
<td>26 Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 Female</td>
<td>14 Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (mean)</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAS severity</td>
<td>Mild OSAS: 20 pts (mean AHI = 10.6)</td>
<td>No OSAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate OSAS: 20 pts (mean AHI = 20.7)</td>
<td>Mean AHI = 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe OSAS: 20 pts (mean AHI = 38.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data regarding the distribution of olfactory dysfunction according to OSAS severity is summarised in Figure 1. A significant difference (p = 0.04) in olfactory dysfunction emerged only between mild and severe OSAS patients.

A strong correlation (regression analysis; p = 0.04; R² = 0.05) between the olfactory dysfunction (TDI) and the severity of sleep apnoea using the AHI was found (Fig. 2).

Regression analysis between BMI and TDI did not show any correlation between high BMI and lower TMC scores (p = 0.07; R² = 0.06). Moreover, no correlation was present between TDI score and middle age (p = 0.7; R² = 0.002).

Rhinomanometry
Thirty-seven patients (61.6%) presented pathological bilateral values of nasal airway resistance at rhinomanometric examination. No differences regarding rhinomanometry results emerged for the different subclasses of OSAS patients. Nine patients (22.5%) showed pathological values in the control group.

The difference in the incidence of pathological rhinomanometry between the study and control group was significant (p = 0.0002).

Mucociliary transport time
The mean value of NMCT in OSAS patients was 13.2 min (S.D. = 3.13; Hi = 20.0; Low = 7.00; Median = 14.0). On the other hand, in the control group a lower NMCT mean value (Hi = 15 min; Low = 5 min) of 9.8 min was found. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p = 0.0001).

TDI score, rhinomanometry and mucociliary transport time
Comparing the results regarding nasal obstruction and olfactory evaluation (Table II): 86.3% of OSAS patients with olfactory dysfunction presented a pathological rhi-
nullomometry outcome. There was a significant difference between patients with and without olfactory dysfunction in terms of rhinomanometry results ($p = 0.003$). This data might indicate that nasal obstruction is correlated to OSAS in patients with OD.

The average mucociliary transport time in patients with OD was greater than the mean value of OSAS patients without OD (14.7 min vs 12.4 min). A significant difference between these two groups was seen ($p = 0.005$). Moreover, regression analysis between the TDI score and NMTC showed a significant correlation ($p = 0.008$) between increase of the NMTC and lower values of the TDI score (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

The available data regarding the incidence and characteristics of olfactory dysfunctions in OSA patients are scarce $^{23-25}$. Salihoglu et al. $^{23}$ in a clinical study regarding the effects of obstructive sleep apnoea on olfactory functions demonstrated that OSAS has significant negative effects on olfactory capacity, especially on threshold values and discrimination. Recently, Günbey et al. $^{24}$ in another clinical study regarding olfactory function in OSA patients, confirmed that patients with severe OSAS had scarce total odour scores with respect to non-snorers.

In accordance with these previous studies, we found that olfactory function declined in patients with OSAS. Olfactory dysfunction was present in 22 (36.6%) patients in our clinical study. Hyposmia and anosmia were present in 86.4% and 13.6% of OSAS patients with OD respectively, indicating that hyposmia is the most common olfactory disorder affecting these patients.

The mean TDI score of the study group was 30 with a statistically significant difference between OSAS patients and the control group. In addition, our data regarding total TDI scores and their values in OSAS subclasses (Table II) appeared similar to those reported by Salihoglu et al. $^{23}$ with mean values of 33.4 ± 1.4 in mild and moderate OSAS and 31.6 ± 0.9 in severe OSAS.

In our study, 50% of patients with a diagnosis of olfactory dysfunction had severe OSAS. A strong negative correlation emerged between the olfactory TDI score and the severity of the OSAS measured according to the apnoea–hypopnoea index (AHI). A negative correlation between the olfactory parameters and the severity of sleep apnoea was also found in the study by Salihoglu et al. $^{23}$.

Regarding BMI values and olfactory function in the study by Günbey et al. $^{24}$, no significant differences emerged in terms of odour parameters, when the subjects were evaluated as obese (BMI ≥ 28 kg/m$^2$) and non-obese (BMI < 28 kg/m$^2$). Furthermore, Salihoglu et al. $^{23}$ did not observe any significant effect of BMI on the sum score of the Sniffin’ Sticks subtests. The data observed in our study would seem to confirm this aspect.

Olfactory functions are generally negatively associated with age and tend to deteriorate further in the elderly $^{33-35}$. 
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![Fig. 3. Linear regression between olfactory TDI and nasal mucociliary clearance time: TDI had a significant and strong correlation with nasal mucociliary clearance time ($p = 0.008$).](image)

| TDI score, rhinomanometry and mucociliary transport time of the study group divided according to the presence or absence of an olfactory dysfunction. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Middle** | **P-value** | **Pathological rhinomanometry** | **Normal rhinomanometry** | **P-value** | **Mucociliary transport time (min)** | **P-value** |
| OSAS with olfactory dysfunction (N = 22) | 52.8 years | $p = 0.9$ | 19 (86.3%) | 3 (13.7%) | $p = 0.003$ | 14.7 | $p = 0.005$ |
| OSAS without olfactory dysfunction (N = 38) | 53.2 years | 18 (47.3%) | 20 (52.7%) | | | 12.4 |
In our study, OSAS patients with OD did not have a higher mean age than those without OD (Table III). Regression analysis between TDI score and middle age did not identify any significant correlation (p = 0.7). This aspect would seem exclude age as a factor influencing olfactory results in OSAS patients. Moreover, no significant difference in mean age between the study group and control group was observed.

The olfactory epithelium is the first odour identification site. Odourants carried by the air enter into the nasal cavity and usually reach the olfactory mucosa through airflow. Subsequently, odourants dissolve within the surface of the olfactory mucosa and then bind to olfactory receptors in the surface of olfactory mucosa, producing nerve impulses. In our opinion, especially in OSA patients, two important aspects related to the transport of odourants at the olfactory epithelium, should be analysed and discussed. These are possible nasal obstruction and nasal mucociliary clearance.

Patients with OSA most often present concurrent nasal obstruction. The latter has been implicated as an independent, aetiological factor in the pathogenesis of OSA, although no definite conclusions on the role of nasal obstruction in OSA pathogenesis have been reported. It would seem that nasal obstruction results in an increase in upstream airflow resistance, which makes the pharynx vulnerable to collapse. During sleep, breathing is primarily nasal, but patients with nasal airway obstructions favour oral breathing, which decreases the hypopharyngeal space, leading to increased upper airway resistance and more frequent apnoeic and hypopnoeic episodes.

Clearly, nasal obstruction is frequently associated with OD because it results in a reduction of the number of odourants that enter the nose during breathing. Only Fu et al. reported a relationship between nasal structure and olfactory function in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. They investigated OSAS patients using acoustic rhinometry and found a correlation between nasal obstruction and lower TDI score. Based on these data they suggested that nasal structure affects parameters of olfactory function, probably via alterations in nasal airflow.

In our study, we preferred to measure nasal air-flow directly via an anterior rhinomanometry. The OSAS patients in our study showed pathological bilateral values of nasal airway resistance in 61.6% of cases. Moreover, 86.3% of OSAS patients with olfactory dysfunction presented pathological rhinomanometry values. In our study, there was a significant difference between patients with and without olfactory dysfunction regarding rhinomanometry results, indicating that nasal obstruction could be a cofactor that is responsible for OD in these patients (Table III).

Inflammation of the nasal mucosa with alterations in nasal mucociliary clearance are a frequent cause of olfactory neuropathology. Usually, poor mucociliary clearance hinders the interaction between odourous molecules contained in inspired air and the olfactory epithelium. In a recent clinical study regarding nasal mucociliary clearance in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients, Deniz et al. demonstrated that the nasal mucociliary system presents significant deterioration in severe OSAS patients. The mean value of NMCT in the OSAS patients of our study was 13.2 min with a significant difference vs to the control group.

It is interesting to note that the mean mucociliary transport time in OSAS patients with OD was greater than the mean NMCT value of the OSAS patients without OD (14.7 min vs 12.4 min). A statistically significant difference between these two groups emerged. Furthermore, regression analysis between TDI score and NMTC showed a significant correlation between the increase of the NMTC and lower values of the TDI score (Fig. 3). These findings could indicate that reduced mucociliary clearance represents another cofactor in the OD aetiology of OSAS patients.
Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD) or laryngopharyngeal reflux disease (LPRD) may be comorbidities in patients with OSAS and an alteration of taste, due to acid aggression, might be possible in these patients. However, such conditions do not seem to alter the results of mucociliary transport time measured using the saccharin test, because as reported by Altundag et al., no impairment in sweet taste is present in OSAS patients with LPRD. To avoid reflux and other possible subjective interferences, a composition of vegetable charcoal powder and saccharin powder at 3% could be used in alternative to the traditional saccharin test.

Finally, regarding the aetiopathogenetic factors of OD in OSAS patients, it should be remembered that intermittent nocturnal hypoxia/reoxygenation episodes represent a trigger for upper airway inflammation and the increase of pro-inflammatory markers such as interleukin-8, tumour necrosis factor-α, or C-reactive protein. It is possible that these inflammatory mediators might contribute to the harmful effects on olfactory neuroepithelium that occur in these patients. An extensive study through evaluation of inflammatory mediators (e.g. cytokines, interleukins, chemokines, TNF etc.) and nasal cytological aspects is under way in order to broaden current knowledge about olfactory damage in patients with sleep apnoea syndrome.

Conclusions

Patients with OSAS appear to suffer from olfactory dysfunction more than adults who are not affected by sleep apnoea syndrome. The degree of olfactory dysfunction appears to be related to severity of disease. However, other factors such as nasal obstruction and reduced mucociliary clearance play a role in the aetiology of this condition.
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