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Head and neck cutaneous basal cell carcinoma: what should the otorhinolaryngology head and neck surgeon care about?
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SUMMARY

Cutaneous basal cell carcinoma (cBCC) is the most common malignancy diagnosed in the human population. cBCC presents an increasing incidence which, in the near future, will be higher than all other cancers combined. The majority of cBCC are located in the head and the neck. A diversity of management modalities is currently available; nonetheless, surgical excision remains the main modality of treatment. cBCC rarely metastasises and presents a low mortality rate. cBCC morbidity is influenced by local invasion and destruction, especially in the face, where function and aesthetics are major issues. Easy accessibility to the face and skin on the neck makes cBCC an important issue for otorhinolaryngology head and neck surgeons who must be aware and committed in its management, as the main modality of treatment continues to be surgical. The aim of this review is to present a brief and practical overview of head and neck cBCC management for ear, nose and throat (ENT) surgeons, discussing key issues about its epidemiology, risk factors, diagnosis and pathogenesis.
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RIASSUNTO

Il carcinoma basocellulare cutaneo (cBCC) è la neoplasia maligna più comunemente diagnosticata nella popolazione umana. La sua incidenza è in aumento e sarà in futuro anche maggiore rispetto alle altre neoplasie. La maggior parte di questi tumori è localizzata a livello del distretto testa e collo. Al momento esistono diverse modalità di trattamento tuttavia la chirurgia rappresenta l’opzione primaria. cBCC tende raramente a metastasizzare ed ha una bassa mortalità, influenzata dal grado di invasione locale. A livello del volto la chirurgia del cBCC deve essere attentamente ponderata da parte del chirurgo per il suo importante impatto a livello estetico e funzionale. Lo scopo di questa review è quello di fornire una concisa e pratica overview della letteratura per il chirurgo otorinolaringoiatra e cervico facciale, sottolineando i keypoints dall’epidemiologia sino ai fattori di rischio, diagnosi e patogenesi del cBCC.
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Introduction

Otorhinolaryngology head and neck (ear, nose and throat - ENT) surgeons are often challenged in their daily practice with patients presenting cutaneous cancer. Cutaneous basal cell carcinoma (cBCC) is the most common cancer diagnosed in humans, accounting for 70-80% of all skin malignancies in fair-skin people, with 80% occurring in the head and the neck 1-3.

A logarithmic relationship between age and cBCC development risk is well established 4. Life expectancy has been increasing over the past decades, and thus an increasing cBCC incidence is expected in the near future and estimated to be higher than the incidence of all other cancers combined 3,6. cBCC is a slow growing and locally invasive malignant tumour, which rarely metastasises and presents an extremely low mortality rate. cBCC can be easily treated by surgical excision, particularly when diagnosed early; its morbidity is influenced by local invasion and destruction, especially in the face and the neck, where function and aesthetics are major issues 7. The increasing longevity of the hu-
man population entails the polarisation of pathologies usually managed by ENT surgeons: presbycusis and cancer (particularly face, head and neck cutaneous cancer). Substantial numbers of elderly patients presenting a cBCC risk profile present to ENT outpatient consultation. Hence, the high cBCC prevalence in the face and neck skin of elderly people demands appropriate expertise by ENT surgeons concerning adequate diagnostic and management practices for patients presenting with this cutaneous cancer.

Epidemiology

New cases of skin cancer surpass the combined incidence of breast, prostate, lung and colon cancer each year. Cutaneous cancer includes two main groups: malignant melanoma (MM) and non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC). NMSC comprises two main groups: cBCC and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC) which are the most common malignancies worldwide and are increasing in incidence. NMSC accounts for more than 95% of the new cases of cutaneous cancer. In USA, 5.4 million NMSC cases were estimated to occur during 2012, and were diagnosed in 3.3 million people. Lifetime risk of developing cBCC in the USA is estimated to be greater than 30% for Caucasians. After an index cBCC case is diagnosed, the incidence of subsequent cases among such patients increases by a factor of 10 compared with the general population. cBCC are usually not recorded in patients increases by a factor of 10 compared with the subsequent multiple cBCC in the same patient. There is a striking variation in the geographical incidence of cBCC, with the highest values in Australia (726 to 884/100,000 person-year) and the lowest in some parts of Africa (less than 1/100,000 person-year). In Scotland, Ireland, Wales, England, Finland, Germany, Switzerland, Italy, France and Spain intermediate values have been reported, ranging from 44.6/100,000 to 128/100,000 person-year. In Portugal, a study comprising a large series of 3,493 skin malignancies revealed a consistent and gradual increase of 5.0% per year in cBCC. Ethnicity differences as well as skin phenotype may explain different distributions in cBCC incidence, which is higher in fair skinned populations, in a single country or region, and or between countries at the same latitude.

Male gender and age are independent risk factors for cBCC. cBCC incidence is higher in men than in women (1.5-2:1), probably due to professional environments. cBCC is a malignancy of the elderly, and more than 50% occur in patients between 50 and 80 years of age. The incidence of cBCC in a USA population younger than 40 years of age, particularly women, appears to be increasing. cBCC is rare in children and young adults.

cBCC usually develops in chronically sun-exposed skin in the head and the neck (64.5-95.9%), followed by the trunk (15-25%), arms and legs. It has also been reported in other locations such as the axillae, breasts, perianal area, genitalia, palms and soles. In the head and the neck, the nose is the most affected area (26-33.4%), followed by the cheek/periorial (14.2-23.9%), forehead/temporal (11.2-16.1%), eyelids (4.7-8.2%), ears, pre-auricular region (6.8-10%) and neck (12.4%).

Risk factors

After age and gender, sunlight exposure is the main risk factor for cBCC, but its pathogenesis remains to be completely understood. cBCC are more frequent in sun-exposed body sites of patients with a strong history of actinic exposure, such as farmers or fishermen. The main five facial cutaneous anatomical sites (nose, cheek, eye area, forehead and ears) affected by cBCC correspond closely to the subsites having the greatest UV exposure. cBCC frequency may be reduced by sun exposure protection measures, but sunscreen use is not clearly associated with decrease of cBCC incidence. Recreational intermittent sun exposure during childhood and adolescence is associated with an increased risk to develop cBCC, showing the importance of some life periods of sun exposure for later life cBCC risk. This association is stronger among sun-sensitive phenotypes with propensity to burn rather than to tan. It seems that cBCC develops following a period of 10 to 50 years after sun exposure causing skin damage. cBCC incidence rates are inversely associated with latitude. These differences may be explained by greater UV radiation vulnerability of patients in lower latitudes than in higher latitudes. The use of tanning beds is reported to be strongly associated with the risk of cBCC development in a dose-response manner.

Patients with distinct phenotypic UV susceptibility traits have different cBCC incidence rates. In fact, cBCC development is more frequent in light phototypes I and II (Fitzpatrick classification), namely patients with light skin, eyes and hair.

Beyond these constitutional risk factors, other markers of UV susceptibility comprise freckles in childhood, and especially severe sun burns with blistering during childhood. Light-skinned people have a probability to develop cBCC that is 10 to 20 times higher than dark-skinned individuals, even when they cohabit in the same region. cBCC is uncommon in Blacks, dark-skinned people and the Oriental population.
Genome-wide association studies have highlighted some UV radiation susceptibility traits associated with cBCC that are controlled by common low-penetrance susceptibility alleles in genes related to skin pigmentation, such as ASIP, TYR, OCA2, MC1R and SLC45A2. Psoralen and ultraviolet A (PUVA) therapy have been related with a moderate risk of cBCC. Radiotherapy for acne and tinea capitis, a treatment modality no longer used, was associated with a high cBCC risk. In organ transplant recipients submitted to immunosuppression, the incidence of cBCC increases 5- to 16-fold compared with the general population.

Chronic arsenic exposure has been reported to be related with an increased risk of cBCC. Photosensitising drugs in a long-term use, such as tetracycline, doxycycline, sulfonamides, fluoroquinolones, phenothiazines, thiazide diuretics, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and retinoids have been associated with a higher risk of cBCC.

Diagnosis and staging

Identifying suspicious head and neck cutaneous lesions to establish a precise diagnosis is of utmost importance because cBCC has no known precursor lesion and can present as distinct intricate forms. Lesions with one to several cm, presenting with typical clinical features, can be accurately diagnosed in a regular and fast physical examination. Typical cBCC presents as a pearly pink or flesh coloured nodule with sharp contour, smooth margin and telangiectasia on the surface. Lesions may be translucent or slightly erythematous with a roller border, occasionally together with scaling, crusting, bleeding or ulceration (Fig. 1A). Most cBCC present as a single lesion, although multiple and simultaneously lesions are not uncommon. The biological behaviour of cBCC usually fits the features of a slow growing non-aggressive tumour, taking more than 6 months to achieve one cm in its largest diameter. The staging of cBCC is based on the largest lesion diameter, spreading or infiltration pattern of the surrounding tissues and systemic dissemination (Table I).

Clinical subtypes

cBCC may be classified in three main clinical subtypes: nodular, superficial, and morpheaform. In the same surgical specimen a combination of these subtypes may occur. Nodular cBCC corresponds to the most common subtype, representing 38.4-86.9% of all subtypes, and usually presents the typical features of cBCC (Figs. 1A and B). The head or the face harbour 90% of this clinical subtype, particularly the nasolabial folds, cheeks, forehead and eyelids. The differential diagnosis comprises a variety of skin lesions such as molluscum contagiosum, sebaceous hyperplasia, amelanotic melanoma, intradermal melanocytic nevus, Merkel cell carcinoma, fibrous papule of the nose, trichoepithelioma and other adnexal neoplasms, SCC, keratoacanthoma, and inflamed seborrheic keratosis.

Superficial cBCC is the second most frequent subtype, representing from 0.6% to 50.7% of cases. It is one of the less aggressive cBCC subtypes, usually appearing as well-circumscribed and scarcely infiltrating erythematous-scaly plaque, macule, patch or a thin papule (Fig. 1C). Sun protected trunk and extremities are the main locations for these lesions which may be multiple. The differential diagnosis includes inflammatory dermatoses such as psoriasis and nummular dermatitis, dermatophytosis, eczema, lichenoid keratosis, actinic keratosis, extra mammary Paget’s disease, Bowen’s disease and early amelanotic melanoma.

Morpheaform BCC is the least frequent subtype, representing 3.1-12.5% of cases. Lesions appear as indurated pink-to-ivory-white, shiny, smooth, scar like plaque of morphea, localised scleroderma, or depressions with ill-defined borders; skin atrophy is frequently associated (Fig. 1D). The clinical presentation and evolution are monotonous and subtle, leading to late diagnosis. It usually occurs in the face and the neck, is more aggressive than nodular or superficial cBCC and portends worse prognosis, higher risk of infiltration and recurrence. The differential diagnosis includes scars, particularly white scar-like lesions (e.g. desmoplastic trichoblastoma), morphea (localised scleroderma), dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, Merkel cell carcinoma, amelanotic melanoma, microcystic adnexal carcinoma and other adnexal neoplasms.

Other clinical subtypes. The rare cBCC with abundant pigment – pigmented cBCC – is more frequent in darker skin patients and mostly associated with nodular subtypes. They present as elevated and translucent lesions varying from black to blue colour with a pearly white border (Fig. 1E). The differential diagnosis includes melanocytic nevi, melanoma and pigmented seborrheic keratosis. The ulcerated cBCC – “rodent ulcer” – occurs as a lesion with a rolled translucent, pearly, firm and smooth border with telangiectasia, often covered with crust, which may result from ulceration of any cBCC subtype. The differential diagnosis includes painless ulcers (Fig. 1F).
After direct visual examination, clinicians can perform dermascopy, which is particularly useful in uncertain or suspicious lesions, supporting accuracy in diagnosis and differential diagnosis. Dermatoscopy is based on magnification under polarised light for skin inspection, and allows reliable and safe identification of cBCC features (Table I). It provides additional information for guiding cBCC management, although meaningful differences in specificity and predictive value have been reported.

Biopsy
Definitive and precise diagnosis of cBCC can be achieved only by histology. Biopsy can confirm clinical diagnosis and aims towards subtype identification of cBCC. Importantly, histological examination of the whole lesion is often essential not only for accurate diagnosis of cBCC subtype, but also to ascertain the risk of recurrence after treatment. Biopsy techniques include punch biopsy, shave biopsy, incisional biopsy and excisional biopsy. Punch and shave biopsies have been reported to have almost equal accuracy in the cBCC subtype diagnosis, approximately 80%. They are simple and easy to perform, but occasionally may not be precise as only a portion of the entire lesion may be represented. The punch biopsy has an overall di-

**Fig. 1.** Clinical features of cBCC. A) Nodular; B) Nodular; C) Superficial; D) Morpheaform; E) Pigmented; F) Ulcerated.
agnostic accuracy of 69%, which is higher in single-subtype cBCC (83%) and superficial cBCC (84%), and lower in mixed-subtype cBCC (37%) and micronodular cBCC (38%)\(^7\). Excision biopsy may be sufficient for concomitant diagnosis and treatment of small cBCC which do not present high recurrence risk features or cosmetic concerns\(^7\).

**Recurrent and metastatic BCC**

CtBCC may be classified as low- or high-risk for recurrence and metastasis, according to their location, size and histopathological features of aggressiveness\(^7\)\(^49\)\(^58\). Excision biopsy may be sufficient for recurrent cBCC which do not present high recurrence risk features or cosmetic concerns\(^7\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Dermatoscopy criteria for cBCC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dermatoscopy findings</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arborising blood vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial fine telangiectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiny white-red structureless areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short white streaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple blue-grey nodules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue-grey ovoid nests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(from Lallas et al., 2014\(^52\); Altamura et al., 2010\(^55\), mod.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. cBCC staging.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCC staging according to the American Joint Commission on Cancer (AJCC), Cancer Staging Manual. 7th Edition. (from Edge et al., 2010\(^43\), mod.).

**Histopathology**

CtBCC is a malignant epithelial tumour originated in the interfollicular epidermis or follicular epithelium\(^68\)\(^69\). Most histological classifications subdivide cBCC as nodular, micronodular, superficial, infiltrative and morpheaform, but mixed histology is frequent. The WHO histological classification was adopted in this review (Table V)\(^39\).

CtBCC can be grouped in two broad categories according to growth features of aggressiveness: indolent-growth subtypes (nodular and superficial) and aggressive-growth subtypes (morpheaform, infiltrative, micronodular, and basosquamous carcinoma)\(^7\). Aggressive-growth cBCC subtypes display local invasive behaviour and high recurrence rates\(^7\).

In micronodular cBCC, tumoural nests extend deeper into the reticular dermis or subcutis and perineural extension can be present. Surgical margins may be underestimated. Superficial cBCC typically shows discontinuous tumoural aggregations giving rise to a multifocal pattern and raising difficulties in the surgical margins assessment\(^39\). Infiltrative and morpheaform cBCC show dermal or dermal-hypodermal infiltration with frequent mitotic activity and individual tumoural cell necrosis.
Perineural invasion is particularly seen in the infiltrative subtype, as well as invasion of subcutis and other adjacent structures, such as muscle. Mixed cBCC comprises 38.5% of all cases and may be classified according to the most unfavourable subtype. The metatypical cBCC (basosquamous carcinoma) is clinically similar to cBCC, sharing histologic features of cBCC and cSCC. It shows more aggressive growing features and metastasises more frequently.

Immunohistochemistry may assist in the differential diagnosis with other malignant skin tumours such as cSCC. Expression of BerEP4 (a monoclonal antibody which recognizes two glycopolypeptides expressed in most human epithelial cells) and CD10 (a neutral endopeptidase) is frequent in cBCC, while the expression of epithelial membrane antigen is rare.

### Molecular pathogenesis

cBCC development and progression is the outcome of a multi-step and complex process, resulting from the interaction between genes endowing susceptible traits, genetic mutations and environmental factors, such as UV exposure. Reported genetic changes in Sonic hedgehog (SHH) pathway are considered sufficient to generate the main features of cBCC, although other genetic changes have been reported.

**SHH pathway in sporadic BCC and genetic syndromes associated with BCC**

SHH pathway is a main signal transduction pathway in embryologic development. In adults, it influences the skin stem-cell population maintenance, regulation of hair follicles and sebaceous gland development, and plays a role in carcinogenesis of many tumours in humans. The SHH pathway is usually inactivated (in a “turn-off” state) in adult cells, and its abnormal activation is associated with the development of several tumours, namely cBCC (Fig. 2) for more detail see Fig. 2). Mutations in the PTCH gene are associated with the development of cBCC in 30-75% of sporadic cases and almost all cases of basal cell nevus syndrome (BCNS). SMO gene mutations are present in 10-21% of sporadic cBCC.

Several genetic syndromes should be suspected when multiple cBCC are present, especially at younger ages. Although rare, the most common syndrome is BCNS, also known as Gorlin syndrome, which is an inherited autosomal dominant disorder associated with mutations in the
SHH pathway, and specifically in the \textit{PTCH1}, \textit{PTCH2}, and \textit{SUFU} genes\cite{73}. Constitutive activation of the SHH pathway may act synergistically with changes in the p53 pathway. Mutations in the \textit{TP53} gene were reported in up to 50\% of cBCC, and with overexpression in the most aggressive cBCC subtypes; more than two-thirds are UV-induced mutations.

Other genetic changes interacting with environmental factors can be associated to cBCC development, a topic that is beyond the scope of this review\cite{74}. The most recently reported ones are the \textit{TERT} promoter mutations which are highly prevalent, ranging from 39\% to 74\% in sporadic cBCC (detailed elsewhere)\cite{75-77}.

**Management**

The key goal of cBCC management is definitive tumour removal, with radical surgery at first attempt, if possible with preservation of function and aesthetics\cite{79,78}. Low-risk cBCC should not be overtreated, avoiding unnecessary morbidity for the patient and increased costs for the health system. Conversely, aggressive and high-risk cBCC should not be undertreated in order to avoid recurrence, metastases and further needless high morbidity treatments\cite{7}. Likewise, personal preferences, psychological, social, economic, logistic and health patient conditions must be taken into account, as well as surgeon/physician preferences\cite{59}. Overall, treatment options may comprise surgical and non-surgical therapies.

**Surgical therapies**

Standard surgical excision (SSE) with or without reconstruction, Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS), electrosurgery (electro dissection and curettage - ED&C), carbon-dioxide laser surgery, and cryosurgery are possible alternatives to be considered in each case\cite{78}.

SSE. Surgical removal of the entire lesion with clear margins is standard treatment for cBCC. Surgical excision is followed by primary closure or reconstruction with tissue flaps, skin grafts, or healing by secondary intention\cite{59}. In some patients, the surgical wound is closed after definitive histopathological diagnosis.
Primary cBCC in the head and the neck have a variable recurrence rate related to size, 3-5% for tumours < 6 mm 79,80, 8% for tumours 6-9 mm size 79 and 9-23% for tumours > 10 mm in diameter 79,80. The highest recurrence rates after surgical excision are reported in the nose, periorcular, paranasal and scalp areas 7. The incidence of incompletely excised cBCC varies among different reports and seems to be influenced by the surgeon’s experience, anatomical location (higher in the head and the neck) and histological subtype (higher in the mixed and sclerosing subtypes) 7. Most cBCC with positive margins are disease-free after 5 years and will not recur, probably as a consequence of tumour remnants destruction during cicatrisation 81. Nonetheless, a mean recurrence rate of 26-27% is reported in these cBCC 81,82, a value that may be underestimated as many patients with a relapse after incomplete excision do not return to the previous surgeon, being treated in another centre.

Management of incompletely excised cBCC has no consensus until today. When incompletely excised at the deep margin, a high-risk cBCC with aggressive clinical/histopathological features should be re-excised, preferentially by MMS 7. Recurrent cBCC managed with surgical excision display recurrence rates of 11-17% during 5-year follow-up, which indicates that they should be treated by MMS 79,83.

Adequate width for surgical margins clearance assuring maximal cure rate of cBCC is usually decided taking into account several factors: the clinical presentation of the primary tumour, recurrent or incompletely excised tumour, anatomical site and local features, clinical and histopathological subtype and subclinical tumour extension. Physician choices based on personal experience also support decisions concerning the width of surgical margins 7,59,82. Nodular cBCC on the face should be excised with standard 4 mm margins to ensure best cure rates 84. Nevertheless, 4 mm margins are not always feasible and desirable on the face, where functional and aesthetic issues should be considered. In these cBCC, a 3 mm margin may be effective, attaining a 95% cure rate 82 when performed according to the three-dimensional growing of the tumour.

Alternatively, MMS may be performed for complete tumour excision and tissue sparing, taking into account tumour size and location, as well as the aggressiveness of the cBCC 84. A feasible and pragmatic algorithm for surgical management of primary and recurrent cBCC is presented in Figure 3 85,86.

Surgical excision is fast, inexpensive and well accepted, and usually has good long-term functional and aesthetic outcomes. It is often a straightforward office-based procedure, performed under local anaesthesia, and provides information about surgical margins. Its disadvantages encompass longer time spent in reconstructive cases, higher costs than cryosurgery and ED&C, invasiveness issues and loss of potential healthy tissue associated to poorer functional/cosmetic results 7,82. The main limitation of this technique is the failure to accurately detect cBCC in surgical margins (under diagnosis), conceding lower cure rates than MMS in high-risk cBCC 87. An active collaboration between a surgeon and pathologist is desirable, but not always achievable when the resection is an office-based procedure.

MMS. Mosh micrographically controlled surgery or histopathological controlled excision comprises a sequence of steps combining surgical excisions with frozen section histopathological evaluations of complete, or almost complete, epidermal and deeper surgical margins. The cycle excision/histologic evaluation may be repeated according to the histopathological results until clear and safe margins are achieved 88,89 (Fig. 4). The MMS recurrence rate for primary cBCC has been reported to be 1.4% in a 5-year period of follow-up, whereas for recurrent tumours 4% was reported in the same period 88, establishing MMS as a treatment of choice not only for primary, but mainly for recurrent cBCC 83.

MMS is suitable for the treatment of high risk or aggressive-growth histologic cBCC subtypes allowing intra-operative margin control in a short period of time (minutes to few hours) and in an outpatient setting under local anaesthesia 88,90. In these cases, radical excision may be associated with good function and cosmetic outcomes, as sparing ability of surrounding tissue by MMS allows smaller defect areas comparing with SSE 7. Its disadvantages comprise invasiveness, financial cost and special techniques requiring more time, laboratory equipment, microscope and specialised pathologists for intra-operative procedures 7,59,87.

**Electrodessication and curettage (ED&C)**

This technique combines a superficial ablation by electrodissection and surgical scraping of the affected skin with a curette. Classically ED&C is performed in two or three rounds of electrodissection and curettage 80. ED&C is fast, easy to perform, and well tolerated. It is indicated in primary low-risk cBCC, specifically in the trunk and limbs, where it achieves low recurrence rates. In tumours demanding more than one cycle of electro fulguration and curettage, excision (SSE or MMS) should be the preferred method, allowing a reliable histological assessment 59. The most common adverse effect is a hypopigmented scar, which makes ED&C less reasonable in the face 80.
Cryosurgery. This technique consists of the use of liquid nitrogen to destroy tumour tissue by freezing. It is rarely applied with a curative intent due to the high recurrence rate. It does not allow histological evaluation, and can lead to a hypopigmented scar. Nevertheless, in patients who refuse surgery or without conditions for other invasive procedures (elderly, high-risk surgical patients, patients with a coagulopathy or a pacemaker), cryosurgery may be an option. Performed in outpatient setting, this procedure is inexpensive, fast and usually safe.

Carbon-dioxide (CO2) laser surgery. Although an uncommon treatment option, CO2 laser surgery can be used to remove cBCC, and is useful in patients with coagulopathy because it accomplishes a bloodless surgical field. Minimal postoperative pain and discomfort, as well as good long term functional and aesthetic results, are reported. The disadvantages, beyond financial and logistics issues, are the wide range of recurrences rates.

Topical medical therapies

Imiquimod is a toll-like receptor (TLR) 7 and 8 agonist that activates the nuclear factor kappa B (NFKB), inducing interferon and other cytokines. It is assumed to promote T-cell-mediated apoptosis of tumour cells, tricking survival mechanisms. Imiquimod, as topical imiquimod 5% cream, was approved by the FDA for superficial cBCC in low-risk locations and is indicated for the treatment of primary tumours in which recurrence and subsequent treatment does not carry relevant functional and cosmetic morbidity.

Topical 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (5% formulation) causes cytotoxicity through inhibition of thymidylate synthase interfering with DNA replication and repair. 5-FU was approved by the FDA for superficial cBCC in low-risk locations, and may be an alternative to imiquimod, although it has been now replaced by imiquimod. It is well-tolerated, offering a generally good cosmetic outcome and high levels of patient satisfaction.
Topical medical therapies are easy to self-apply and are thus options in small and multiple low-risk localisations of cBCC for patients lacking the conditions for SSE. Conversely, local adverse effects may cause more annoying and unfavourable reactions and outcomes in facial lesions compared to MMS 93.

Other therapies

In photodynamic therapy (PDT), a combination of light and porphyrins is used for cBCC ablation. Illumination in the red light narrow band at 570-670 nm (75 J/cm²) is regularly used. The prodrugs applied are 5-aminolaevulinic acid (ALA) and its lipophilic methyl ester, methyl aminolaevulinate (MAL). PDT was approved for cBCC treatment in many countries in Europe 7. Superficial cBCC are the major subtypes indicated for this modality with overall cure rates varying from 70% to 90% 59. Adverse effects include pain or burning sensation at administration, erythema, itching, epithelial exfoliation and pustules 7 59.

Radiotherapy (RT) is not indicated as a first line treatment for cBCC unless surgery is contraindicated. RT displays a low recurrence rate in cBCC treatment, 7.4% for primary and 9.5% for recurrent cBCC 94. Common adverse effects, such as permanent skin hypopigmentation or hyperpigmentation, dryness, epidermal atrophy, telangiectasia and dermal fibrosis are reported in 37% of patients with cBCC 94. Chronic radiation dermatitis, alopecia and radiation-induced skin malignancies limit the indications for radiotherapy, making it more suitable for patients with more than 60 years of age in whom surgery is not possible or not desired 94.

Chemotherapy (platinum-based therapy) has been used for management of uncontrolled local and metastatic cBCC. However, the patient’s comorbidities must be considered: proper kidney function is required and bone marrow toxicity may occur 7.

Targeted medical therapies

In some cBCC complete surgical resection may be impossible without compromising not only aesthetics, but also vital or functional important structures. Additionally, radiotherapy may be ineffective or contraindicated. Local
advanced and metastatic cBCC have been a challenging issue, emphasising the importance of the new emerging medical therapies, such as the anti-SMO agents.

Vismodegib, an inhibitor of the SMO receptor in the hedgehog pathway, is a new systemic therapeutic option for advanced cBCC. This drug was approved by the FDA in 2012 for the treatment of locally advanced and metastatic cBCC. Sekulic et al. reported objective responses in 43% of patients with locally advanced cBCC and in 30% of patients with metastatic cBCC treated with Vismodegib. Its common adverse events comprise muscle spasms, alopecia, taste disturbance, weight loss and fatigue.

Ingenol mebutate gel has been reported to have promising results in clinical trials, with a stated histological clearance between 38% and 63%. On the other hand, its main indication is the treatment of actinic keratosis and not cBCC. Adverse events include erythema, flaking and scaling, pain and headache.

Surgical modalities often achieve cure of cBCC with good oncological, functional and cosmetic efficiency. Low-risk cBCC can be treated with ED&C or mainly with SSE. High-risk and recurrent cBCC should undergo aggressive management. In this setting, MMS is considered the gold standard treatment of choice, providing the highest cure rates and best aesthetic outcomes.

Prognosis and prevention

Overall, prognosis of cBCC is good and defined by the likelihood of cure or risk of recurrence. During follow-up two major issues must be taken into account: the risk of local invasion and recurrence, and although very rare, the management of metastatic cBCC.

The main prevention strategy should consist of measures addressing protection from UV exposure during childhood and adolescence, especially in fair skin people. Despite being a controversial issue, the regular use of sunscreens with a solar protector factor (SPF) of 15 has been associated with a reduction in the lifetime incidence of cBCC. The use of sunscreen can be advised as it may prevent melanoma and may have a role in cBCC prevention, especially in organ transplant recipients. Self-skin examination of susceptible patients in a routine-based practice, and outpatient consultation referral when suspicious lesions are present, are of utmost importance in early detection and diagnosis of cBCC.

Conclusions

cBCC is, and will continue to be, a major public health problem because of its growing incidence, causing an increased financial burden to healthcare systems. Hence, it is very important that physicians assisting patients with high risk of developing cBCC attain clinical competence on this common oncological topic. cBCC are mainly located on the head and the neck, making these lesions a significant issue for ENT surgeons who must be aware and committed to take part in its management since the main treatment modality is surgery.
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